

The "Notwithstanding Clause"

Section 33 of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms is known as the "notwithstanding clause." It is also called the "override clause." The clause lets governments pass laws that violate (go against) certain *Charter* rights (ie. equality rights, legal rights, and fundamental rights in section 2 or sections 7 to 15).



Including this clause was key to getting the *Charter* supported by the provinces when it was introduced in 1982.



As it is part of the *Charter*, it is part of the Canadian *Constitution*, which is our most powerful law. the p was in

The federal government has never used the notwithstanding clause. Provincial governments have rarely used it.

If a government uses the notwithstanding clause to pass legislation, it has to renew its use of the clause after 5 years.

Quebec was the only province that didn't sign on to the *Charter*. They passed legislation in 1982 that used the notwithstanding clause in every new law. They stopped doing that in 1985.

LEAF is currently involved in a Saskatchewan case involving section 33. We are arguing that, even when the notwithstanding clause has been used in a law, a court can still declare that the law violates *Charter* rights. Courts can't use that ruling to strike down the law but it remains meaningful for the public to have a court's opinion. But there has been a recent, troubling trend of provinces using the clause to pass, or threaten to pass, regressive laws. Below are just some of the recent uses of section 33.

2019

Quebec used the clause to pass Bill 21, a law preventing certain public servants from wearing religious items at work.

2022

Ontario used the clause to introduce a law forcing education workers back to work, but withdrew the Bill after major public protest.

2023

Saskatchewan used the clause to pass a law requiring parental consent for students under 16 years old to be called by their proper pronouns or chosen name at school.